## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

HAROLD BRAITHWAITE,	) CASE NO. 5:06 CV 1219
Petitioner,	) JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTE
v.	) MEMODANDUM OF ODINION
MICHELE EBERLIN,	) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u> ) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Respondent.	)

On May 16, 2006, petitioner <u>pro se</u> Harold Braithwaite filed the above-captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Braithwaite is incarcerated in an Ohio correctional institution, having been convicted of aggravated robbery and felonious assault (2 counts), pursuant to a guilty plea, in October 2004. For the reasons stated below, the petition is denied and this action is dismissed.

A federal court may entertain a habeas petition filed by a person in state custody only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). In addition, petitioner must have exhausted all available state remedies. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b).

As grounds for relief, petitioner asserts that his three concurrent four year sentences violate the principles set forth in

Case: 5:06-cv-01219-DAP Doc #: 3 Filed: 07/12/06 2 of 2. PageID #: 17

<u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005) and <u>Blakely v.</u>

Washington, 542 U.S. 296 (2004). He further claims that the court

should find "cause and prejudice" for his decision not to appeal

the denial of a postconviction motion he filed in the Ohio Court of

Common Pleas, wherein he sought to raise this issue.

Without the regard to the merits of Braithwaite's

assertions, it is evident on the face of the petition that he has

yet to appeal his sentence, an avenue still available to him under

Ohio Appellate Rule 5. His petition is thus premature.

Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing

Section 2254 Cases, the petition is denied and this case is

dismissed without prejudice. The Court certifies, pursuant to 28

U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be

taken in good faith, and that there is no basis on which to issue

a certificate of appealability. Fed.R.App.P. 22(b); 28 U.S.C. §

2253.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Dan Aaron Polster 7/12/06

DAN AARON POLSTER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2